Ontario
Provincial Parks

Provincial Park Day Use Survey Statistical Summary

1984

Ministry of
Natural
Resources
Ontario

1984 Provincial Park Day-User Survey Summary

Statistical Report

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	List of Tables	ii
1.	Introduction	1
2.	Characteristics of Park Users	5
3.	Extent of Park Usage	9
4.	Travel Data	15
5.	Activity Participation	21
6.	Trip-Related Expenditures	29
7.	Park Visitor Preferences	35
8.	Marketing Information	43
9.	Day-Users Perception of Park Staff	51
10.	Interpretive/Recreational Programs	55
11.	Specific Questions: Southern Regions	59
	Appendix: 1984 Provincial Park Day-User Survey Questionnaire	77
	1984 Park Day-User Survey Map	79

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Visitors to Park by Party Type	6
2.2	Visitors to Park by Party Size	7
2.3	Visitors to Park by Age and Sex Distribution	8
3.1	Previous Visit to Park	10
3.2	Previous Visitors Who Visited the Park Last Year (1983)	11
3.3	Number of Days in Park Last Year (1983) by Previous Visitors	12
3.4	Previous Visitors Who Camped in the Park Last Year (1983)	13
3.5	Amount of Time (Hours) Spent in the Park	14
4.1	Permanent Residence of Visitors by M.N.R. Region	16
4.2	Type of Accommodation Used on Visitors' Trip	18
4.3	Role of Park	19
5.1	Participation Rates for Selected Recreational Activities	22
5.2	Activities Which Visitors Spent Most Time Doing	24
5.3	Age and Sex Characteristics of Respondent to Participation Questions	26
5.4	Number of Activities Participated In	27
6.1	Expenditures on Fuel and Transportation within 40 km. of Park	30
6.2	Expenditures on Food and Drink within 40 km. of Park	31
6.3	Expenditures on Entertainment within 40 km. of Park	32
6.4	Miscellaneous Expenditures within 40 km. of Park	33
6.5	Total Expenditures within 40 km. of Park	34
7.1	Visitors' Reasons for Choosing Park	36
7.2	Visitors' Reasons for Enjoyment of Their Park Visit	38
7.3	Visitors' Recommended Improvements to the Park	40
8.1	Visitors' Awareness of Provincial Park Advertising	44
8.2	Type of Advertising	45

		Page
8.3	Influence of Advertising on Choice of Park	46
8.4	Influence of Special Events on Park Visitation	47
8.5	Visitors Visit Attractions/Facilities Outside of Park	48
8.6	Types of Attractions/Facilities Visited Outside of Park	49
9.1	Park Staff Courteous and Helpful	52
9.2	Reasons Staff not Courteous and Helpful	53
10.1	Adequacy of Interpretive/Recreational Programs	56
10.2	Reasons Park Programs Found Inadequate	57
11.1.1	Point Farms - Publications and Suggested Improvements	60
11.1.2.	Point Farms - Use of Credit Cards	61
11.2.1	Selkirk - Publications and Suggested Improvements	62
11.2.2	Selkirk- Use of Credit Cards	63
11.3.1	Pinery - Winter Use of Park	64
11.3.2	Pinery - Signage system and Suggested Improvements	65
11.3.3	Pinery - Publications and Suggested Improvements	66
11.3.4	Pinery - Use of Credit Cards	67
11.4.1	North Beach - Level of Enforcement and Security	68
11.4.2	North Beach - Maintenance and Use of Facilities	69
11.5.1	Algonquin - Activities Engaged in Off-Season	70
11.5.2	Algonquin - Level of Enforcement and Security	71
11.5.3	Algonquin - Maintenance of Park Facilities	72
11.5.4	Algonquin - Use of Self-Guided Trails	73
11.5.5	Algonquin - Use of Picnic Areas	74
11.5.6	Algonquin - Use of Other Areas	75

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

The information presented in this statistical report summarizes data collected during the summer months of the 1984 park operating season as part of the on-going provincial park User Survey Program. This program was established in 1974 to provide current information for a variety of planning, research and operational/management functions. The program operates on a five year cyclical pattern during which time eligible parks are surveyed once. This approach permits a response each year to changing needs for user information and ensures that data for any given park are never more than five years old. The database is now sufficient to provide a reliable record of trends and patterns of park users on a provincial and regional basis.

Questions contained in the survey obtained information on the characteristics of day users including: the extent of park usage, travel data, activity participation, trip-related expenditures, marketing information and park perception. In addition, a number of park-specific questions were added to investigate particular issues of concerns of the park manager (see Appendix for copy of Questionnaire).

A total of 1621 Questionnaires were processed and form the basis for the sample of park day-users. The sample size for each of the surveyed parks is:

Park	Return Rate %	Sample Size	Percent of Total Sample
Algonquin	26	130	8
Driftwood	9	23	1
Lake St. Peter	**	*	**
Sandbar Lake	28	68	4
Lake of the Woods	**	48	3
Blue Lake	10	67	4
Pinery	**	253	16
Selkirk	**	47	3
Point Farms	23	91	6
North Beach	54	130	8
Ferris	**	*	**
Esker	29	108	7
Pancake Bay	29	81	5

Park	Return Rate %	Sample Size	Percent of Total Sample
Restoule	12	49	3
Chutes	**	61	4
Sibbald Point	25	465	29
TOTALS		1621	100
Southern Ontario Regions		1139	70
Northern Ontario Regions		482	30

* sample size too small to interpret
** insufficient data

Caution should be exercised in the interpretation of data for which a small sample size exists. Should more detailed or specific data be required, please contact the Parks and Recreational Areas Branch in Toronto.

SECTION 2

CHARACTERISTICS OF PARK USERS

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

TYPE OF PARTY

(1567 CASES - 96.8%)

TABLE 2.1

PARK NAME	FAMILY %	COUPLE %	GROUP %	FRIENDS %	SINGLE %	OTHER* %
ALGONQUIN	53.2	33.1	0.0	9.7	1.6	1.6
DRIFTWOOD	47.6	33.3	0.0	9.5	4.8	4.8
SIBBALD POINT	61.5	14.6	1.3	20.6	0.9	1.1
NORTH BEACH	54.3	19.7	0.8	18.9	3.9	2.4
BLUE LAKE	60.0	16.9	0.0	16.9	6.2	0.0
LAKE OF WOODS	52.2	13.0	2.2	32.6	0.0	0.0
SANDBAR LAKE	49.2	20.0	1.5	18.5	7.7	3.1
CHUTES	46.7	40.0	1.7	5.0	6.7	0.0
RESTOULE	66.0	12.8	4.3	14.9	2.1	0.0
PANCAKE BAY	65.0	12.5	1.2	13.7	5.0	2.5
ESKER	59.2	17.5	1.0	17.5	3.9	1.0
SELKIRK	65.1	18.6	2.3	11.6	2.3	0.0
PINERY	59.3	27.8	0.8	10.5	0.8	0.8
POINT FARMS	48.9	33.0	2.3	10.2	3.4	1.1
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	57.9	21.2	1.2	15.8	2.5	1.2

*REFERS TO COMBINATIONS OF THE ABOVE CATEGORIES

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

PARTY SIZE

(1596 CASES - 98.5%)

TABLE 2.2

PARK NAME	1 %	2 %	3 %	4 %	5 %	6 %	7 %	8+ %	AVERAGE
ALGONQUIN	1.6	37.3	22.2	23.0	7.9	4.0	2.4	1.6	3.3
DRIFTWOOD	4.3	30.4	26.1	21.7	8.7	4.3	0.0	4.3	4.8
SIBBALD POINT	0.7	18.3	13.3	25.5	14.8	11.3	4.8	11.3	5.2
NORTH BEACH	4.7	25.2	17.3	20.5	16.5	7.1	0.8	7.9	4.3
BLUE LAKE	4.5	21.2	10.6	27.3	9.1	12.1	7.6	7.6	4.8
LAKE OF WOODS	0.0	25.0	4.2	16.7	18.8	14.6	10.4	10.4	4.9
SANDBAR LAKE	7.6	21.2	18.2	24.2	9.1	10.6	1.5	7.6	4.7
CHUTES	8.2	41.0	13.1	24.6	9.8	3.3	0.0	0.0	3.0
RESTOULE	2.1	14.6	27.1	29.2	16.7	6.3	2.1	2.1	3.9
PANCAKE BAY	3.7	14.8	12.3	30.9	17.3	6.2	3.7	11.1	5.0
ESKER	3.8	23.6	14.2	28.3	11.3	6.6	0.9	11.3	5.8
SELKIRK	2.2	17.8	13.3	35.6	8.9	0.0	2.2	20.0	8.7
PINERY	0.8	31.5	12.0	29.9	14.3	4.4	1.6	5.6	4.3
POINT FARMS	3.4	33.0	17.0	25.0	4.5	6.8	2.3	8.0	5.4
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	2.4	24.8	14.7	26.1	12.9	7.7	3.1	8.3	4.8

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

SEX AND AGE DISTRIBUTION*

(1446 CASES - 89.3%)

TABLE 2.3

PARK NAME	SEX DISTRIBUTION		AGE DISTRIBUTION				
	MALE %	FEMALE %	1-14 %	15-24 %	25-44 %	45-64 %	65+ %
ALGONQUIN	50.8	49.2	20.6	14.0	37.8	20.8	6.8
DRIFTWOOD	52.2	47.8	23.2	5.8	40.6	23.2	7.2
SIBBALD POINT	53.7	46.3	28.2	21.5	35.9	11.5	2.9
NORTH BEACH	51.9	48.1	32.0	19.0	38.7	7.6	2.6
BLUE LAKE	45.2	54.8	34.1	17.6	37.2	9.6	1.5
LAKE OF WOODS	44.0	56.0	45.0	13.6	30.9	6.8	3.7
SANDBAR LAKE	52.5	47.5	32.1	19.6	30.0	13.7	4.6
CHUTES	45.9	54.1	24.9	9.4	38.1	16.0	11.6
RESTOULE	47.0	53.0	36.6	11.0	34.1	6.7	11.6
PANCAKE BAY	47.0	53.0	38.2	11.4	37.9	10.0	2.6
ESKER	50.8	49.2	32.0	17.5	36.0	9.4	5.1
SELKIRK	47.7	52.3	33.7	12.2	36.6	8.7	8.7
PINERY	50.2	49.8	29.2	12.0	38.0	18.0	2.9
POINT FARMS	47.7	52.3	27.5	18.1	29.6	19.5	5.2
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	50.6	49.4	30.1	16.7	36.1	12.9	4.1

*FIGURES REPRESENT ALL MEMBERS OF ALL PARTIES

SECTION 3

EXTENT OF PARK USAGE

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

PREVIOUS VISIT TO PARK

(1585 CASES - 97.9%)

TABLE 3.1

PARK NAME	YES %	NO %
ALGONQUIN	73.6	26.4
DRIFTWOOD	47.8	52.2
SIBBALD POINT	78.6	21.4
NORTH BEACH	81.3	18.8
BLUE LAKE	78.5	21.5
LAKE OF WOODS	79.2	20.8
SANDBAR LAKE	57.8	42.2
CHUTES	34.4	65.6
RESTOULE	51.1	48.9
PANCAKE BAY	77.8	22.2
ESKER	75.5	24.5
SELKIRK	62.8	37.2
PINERY	83.9	16.1
POINT FARMS	64.0	36.0
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	73.9	26.1

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

PREVIOUS VISITORS WHO VISITED
THE PARK LAST YEAR (1983)

(1156 CASES - 71.4%)

TABLE 3.2

PARK NAME	YES %	NO %
ALGONQUIN	39.6	60.4
DRIFTWOOD	44.4	55.6
SIBBALD POINT	77.9	22.1
NORTH BEACH	79.2	20.8
BLUE LAKE	70.6	29.4
LAKE OF WOODS	80.6	19.4
SANDBAR LAKE	81.6	18.4
CHUTES	35.3	64.7
RESTOULE	70.8	29.2
PANCAKE BAY	55.7	44.3
ESKER	73.2	26.8
SELKIRK	71.4	28.6
PINERY	69.9	30.1
POINT FARMS	72.7	27.3
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	70.5	29.5

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

DAYS IN PARK LAST YEAR (1983)
BY PREVIOUS VISITORS

(811 CASES - 50.0%)

TABLE 3.3

NUMBER OF DAYS

PARK NAME	1 %	2 %	3 %	4-7 %	8-14 %	15-21 %	22+ %	AVERAGE
ALGONQUIN	47.1	8.8	5.9	26.5	5.9	5.9	0.0	4.0
DRIFTWOOD	0.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	7.8
SIBBALD POINT	27.7	13.3	10.7	29.5	11.4	4.8	2.6	5.3
NORTH BEACH	9.8	8.5	8.5	18.3	26.8	17.1	11.0	11.1
BLUE LAKE	14.7	5.9	8.8	35.3	11.8	8.8	14.7	9.9
LAKE OF WOODS	7.4	11.1	11.1	14.8	29.6	14.8	11.1	10.6
SANDBAR LAKE	20.0	12.0	4.0	24.0	20.0	12.0	8.0	8.5
CHUTES	50.0	0.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	4.3
RESTOULE	18.8	0.0	12.5	18.8	43.8	6.3	0.0	7.3
PANCAKE BAY	20.6	14.7	17.6	29.4	5.9	2.9	8.8	6.3
ESKER	33.9	21.4	10.7	17.9	10.7	5.4	0.0	4.3
SELKIRK	31.6	15.8	0.0	15.8	26.3	5.3	5.3	6.4
PINERY	20.8	15.3	11.1	27.8	16.0	5.6	3.5	6.0
POINT FARMS	12.8	35.9	15.4	12.8	12.8	7.7	2.6	5.4
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	23.3	14.0	10.5	25.2	15.2	7.3	4.6	6.5

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

CAMP IN PARK LAST YEAR (1983)
BY PREVIOUS VISITORS

(842 CASES - 52.0%)

TABLE 3.4

PARK NAME	YES %	NO %
ALGONQUIN	25.7	74.3
DRIFTWOOD	33.3	66.7
SIBBALD POINT	16.5	83.5
NORTH BEACH	2.4	97.6
BLUE LAKE	35.0	65.0
LAKE OF WOODS	40.0	60.0
SANDBAR LAKE	27.3	72.7
CHUTES	0.0	100.0
RESTOULE	29.4	70.6
PANCAKE BAY	21.1	78.9
ESKER	37.3	62.7
SELKIRK	25.0	75.0
PINERY	20.4	79.6
POINT FARMS	27.5	72.5
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	21.0	79.0

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

LENGTH OF STAY
(HOURS)

(1522 CASES - 93.3%)

TABLE 3.5

PARK NAME	<1 %	1 %	2 %	3 %	4 %	5 %	6+ %	AVERAGE
ALGONQUIN	6.7	0.8	2.5	19.2	16.7	15.8	45.0	5.3
DRIFTWOOD	0.0	21.7	8.7	30.4	17.4	13.0	8.7	3.3
SIBBALD POINT	5.2	1.6	2.0	4.3	10.6	14.7	66.7	6.3
NORTH BEACH	4.8	4.8	3.2	23.4	29.8	24.2	14.5	4.2
BLUE LAKE	9.8	3.3	3.3	16.4	23.0	18.0	30.1	4.9
LAKE OF WOODS	26.3	2.6	13.2	18.4	21.1	21.1	23.7	4.4
SANDBAR LAKE	19.3	1.8	12.3	38.6	17.5	10.5	19.3	4.0
CHUTES	13.0	18.5	27.8	25.9	7.4	9.3	11.1	3.0
RESTOULE	4.3	0.0	10.6	12.8	29.8	10.6	36.2	4.8
PANCAKE BAY	3.8	2.6	3.8	15.4	16.7	19.2	42.3	5.2
ESKER	11.3	1.0	11.3	12.4	11.3	20.6	43.3	5.2
SELKIRK	11.9	0.0	0.0	2.4	9.5	16.7	71.4	6.4
PINERY	5.4	0.8	3.3	7.9	20.4	18.8	48.7	5.5
POINT FARMS	3.4	3.4	12.5	11.4	21.6	13.6	37.5	4.9
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	7.1	2.7	5.6	12.6	16.8	16.6	45.6	5.32

SECTION 4

TRAVEL DATA

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

LOCATION OF PERMANENT RESIDENCE
BY MINISTRY REGION

(1426 CASES - 88.1%)

TABLE 4.1

PARK NAME	N.W. REGION %	N.C. REGION %	N. REGION %	N.E. REGION %	A. REGION %	E. REGION %	C. REGION %	S.W. REGIO %
ALGONQUIN	0.9	0.0	0.0	2.7	6.4	16.4	43.6	3.
DRIFTWOOD	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	56.3	6.3	6.3	0.0
SIBBALD POINT	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	94.8	0.5
NORTH BEACH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9	67.0	21.7	1.
BLUE LAKE	56.5	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.
LAKE OF WOODS	65.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0
SANDBAR LAKE	43.9	8.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	7.0	1.
CHUTES	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.0	0.0	4.0	22.0	4.
RESTOULE	0.0	0.0	0.0	72.1	0.0	0.0	25.6	0.0
PANCAKE BAY	0.0	0.0	0.0	67.6	0.0	0.0	11.8	2.
ESKER	0.0	0.0	62.1	3.2	0.0	2.1	7.4	2.
SELKIRK	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	78.1	9.
PINERY	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	11.5	59.9
POINT FARMS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4	18.9	64
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	6.3	0.7	4.3	7.2	1.5	8.1	40.4	14

CONT'D

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

LOCATION OF PERMANENT RESIDENCE
BY MINISTRY REGION

(1426 CASES - 88.1%)

TABLE 4.1

PARK NAME	BORDER** PROVINCES %	OTHER CANADA %	BORDER STATES %	OTHER*** U.S.A. %	OTHER* %
ALGONQUIN	1.8	1.8	12.7	3.6	6.4
DRIFTWOOD	6.3	12.5	12.5	0.0	0.0
SIBBALD POINT	0.3	1.3	0.3	0.3	1.0
NORTH BEACH	3.5	1.7	1.7	0.9	0.0
BLUE LAKE	17.7	4.8	3.2	4.8	1.6
LAKE OF WOODS	7.9	7.9	10.5	5.3	0.0
SANDBAR LAKE	8.8	8.8	12.3	3.5	3.5
CHUTES	0.0	2.0	22.0	16.0	2.0
RESTOULE	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0
PANCAKE BAY	2.9	1.5	8.8	4.4	0.0
ESKER	18.9	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.1
SELKIRK	3.1	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0
PINERY	0.5	1.4	23.0	1.8	0.9
POINT FARMS	0.0	2.7	5.4	1.4	1.4
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	3.6	2.3	7.6	2.2	1.4

*MORE DETAILED LOCATIONAL DATA ARE AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST

**MANITOBA QUEBEC

***MICHIGAN, NEW YORK, PENNSYLVANIA, OHIO, WISCONSIN, MINNESOTA

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

TYPE OF ACCOMMODATIONS USED ON TRIP

(1067 CASES - 65.9%)

TABLE 4.2

PARK NAME	Permanent Residence	Provincial Campground	Hotel/Motel Motor Hotel	Friends/ Relatives	Resort/ Lodge	Cabin/ Cottage	Private Cottage	Private Campground	Other +
ALGONQUIN	3.0	7.0	21.0	22.0	8.0	16.0	10.0	6.0	7.0
DRIFTWOOD	42.9	14.3	14.3	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1
SIBBALD POINT	29.1	28.7	5.9	13.4	0.8	1.6	3.1	5.1	12.2
NORTH BEACH	47.7	4.7	5.6	21.5	0.9	5.6	0.0	4.7	9.3
BLUE LAKE	36.0	8.0	10.0	22.0	0.0	4.0	6.0	6.0	8.0
LAKE OF WOODS	45.7	11.4	2.9	25.7	0.0	0.0	2.9	5.7	5.7
SANDBAR LAKE	40.0	12.0	14.0	20.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	4.0
CHUTES	20.6	17.6	44.1	2.9	0.0	2.9	0.0	5.9	5.9
RESTOULE	50.0	13.2	2.6	13.2	0.0	5.3	7.9	0.0	7.9
PANCAKE BAY	35.1	17.5	17.5	14.0	5.3	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.3
ESKER	43.8	23.6	3.4	16.9	1.1	0.0	2.2	4.5	4.5
SELKIRK	41.4	20.7	0.0	6.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	20.7
PINERY	35.4	13.2	16.7	11.8	0.0	2.1	0.7	6.9	13.2
POINT FARMS	33.3	16.7	13.6	12.1	4.5	0.0	4.5	3.0	12.1
PERCENTAGE	33.6	16.8	11.2	15.7	1.7	3.4	3.1	5.1	9.6

*RESPONSES HERE ARE MAINLY LOCAL RESIDENTS WITH SOME STOPOVERS

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

ROLE OF PARK

(1568 CASES - 96.8%)

TABLE 4.3

PARK NAME	MAIN DESTINATION %	ONE OF SEVERAL DESTINATIONS %	STOPOVER %
ALGONQUIN	41.3	45.2	13.5
DRIFTWOOD	39.1	21.7	39.1
SIBBALD POINT	87.6	10.2	2.2
NORTH BEACH	70.3	16.4	13.3
BLUE LAKE	53.8	20.0	26.2
LAKE OF WOODS	72.7	18.2	9.1
SANDBAR LAKE	39.1	15.6	45.3
CHUTES	16.4	14.5	69.1
RESTOULE	60.9	21.7	17.4
PANCAKE BAY	62.5	18.8	18.8
ESKER	68.3	20.2	11.5
SELKIRK	83.7	11.6	4.7
PINERY	81.9	13.7	4.4
POINT FARMS	57.3	21.3	21.3
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	69.4	17.3	13.3

SECTION 5

ACTIVITY PARTICIPATION

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

PARTICIPATION RATES FOR SELECTED RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES

(1578 CASES - 97.5%)

TABLE 5.1

ACTIVITIES

PARK NAME	Picnic	Sunbathe	Swim	Motor boating	Canoe	Fish	Hike	Bike	Walk
ALGONQUIN	48.8	21.4	31.7	4.0	16.8	7.9	52.4	0.0	40.0
DRIFTWOOD	73.9	52.2	56.5	4.3	4.3	17.4	17.4	0.0	26.1
SIBBALD POINT	83.5	71.0	91.6	5.7	5.7	11.9	8.6	4.2	54.3
NORTH BEACH	57.8	86.8	94.6	1.6	3.9	5.5	6.2	4.7	50.4
BLUE LAKE	66.2	78.5	87.7	3.1	9.4	4.7	14.1	4.7	53.1
LAKE OF WOODS	61.9	52.4	64.3	16.7	2.4	28.6	28.6	9.5	45.2
SANDBAR LAKE	62.9	67.7	77.4	8.1	3.2	6.5	29.0	1.6	45.2
CHUTES	73.7	40.4	56.1	0.0	1.8	1.8	45.6	5.3	42.1
RESTOULE	69.4	61.2	61.2	0.0	6.1	6.1	22.4	2.0	32.7
PANCAKE BAY	83.5	83.5	88.6	0.0	3.8	2.5	17.7	1.3	64.6
ESKER	69.9	64.1	72.8	0.0	18.4	16.5	30.1	1.0	50.0
SELKIRK	89.4	72.3	68.1	8.5	2.1	10.6	21.3	10.6	68.1
PINERY	76.9	83.7	84.1	0.8	4.0	4.0	30.5	1.6	51.4
POINT FARMS	74.4	72.2	73.3	3.3	0.0	2.2	15.6	5.6	58.0
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	73.4	68.6	78.6	3.6	6.3	8.5	21.5	3.4	51.0

CONT'D

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

PARTICIPATION RATES FOR SELECTED RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES

(1576 CASES = 97.3%)

TABLE 5.1

ACTIVITIES

PARK NAME	Casual Play	View/Photo	Playground	Relax	View points/ Lookouts	Guided walks	Historical displays	Staff recreation	Staff Presentation	Other
ALGONQUIN	11.1	57.9	4.8	42.9	65.1	9.5	52.4	1.6	23.0	7.3
DRIFTWOOD	21.7	17.4	4.3	52.2	30.4	9.1	13.0	8.7	0.0	8.7
SIBBALD POINT	60.2	13.8	28.9	80.6	16.9	6.6	9.5	2.2	1.5	9.0
NORTH BEACH	48.1	5.4	4.7	79.8	7.0	2.3	1.6	3.1	0.8	7.1
BLUE LAKE	37.5	12.7	43.8	76.6	15.6	4.7	9.4	0.0	1.6	12.5
LAKE OF WOODS	47.6	23.8	45.2	59.5	23.8	4.8	4.8	9.5	4.8	9.5
SANDBAR LAKE	24.2	30.6	11.5	75.8	25.8	3.2	11.3	8.1	3.2	16.7
CHUTES	17.5	42.1	7.0	40.4	52.6	3.5	8.8	0.0	1.8	3.5
RESTOULE	34.7	18.8	36.7	63.3	10.2	8.2	4.1	4.1	2.0	10.2
PANCAKE BAY	45.6	27.8	8.9	73.4	19.0	5.1	8.9	6.3	3.8	5.1
ESKER	43.7	26.2	21.4	65.0	31.1	7.8	14.6	5.8	1.9	5.8
SELKIRK	53.2	14.9	31.9	89.4	23.4	8.5	10.6	0.0	6.4	8.5
PINERY	41.0	30.3	3.2	79.3	30.3	6.4	9.6	2.4	1.6	8.4
POINT FARMS	34.4	26.7	15.6	82.2	18.9	2.2	6.7	7.8	3.3	8.0
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	43.2	23.7	18.2	73.0	25.2	6.0	12.2	3.4	3.7	8.4

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

ACTIVITY SPENT MOST TIME DOING

(1376 CASES - 85.0%)

TABLE 5.2

ACTIVITIES

PARK NAME	Relax	Swim	Fish	Walking	Sunbathe	Hike	Canoe	Picnic
ALGONQUIN	7.3	7.3	3.6	5.5	0.9	33.6	9.1	6.4
DRIFTWOOD	5.0	25.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	10.0	0.0	40.0
SIBBALD POINT	16.6	33.7	1.5	2.2	14.9	0.0	1.0	16.8
NORTH BEACH	10.2	43.2	0.8	1.7	23.7	0.8	0.0	10.2
BLUE LAKE	10.5	33.3	0.0	3.5	19.3	3.5	0.0	14.0
LAKE OF WOODS	7.7	23.1	17.9	2.6	10.3	7.7	0.0	12.8
SANDBAR LAKE	12.5	19.6	3.6	3.6	17.9	12.5	0.0	17.9
CHUTES	13.3	20.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	17.8	0.0	28.9
RESTOULE	16.7	21.4	0.0	0.0	16.7	4.8	2.4	23.8
PANCAKE BAY	23.6	20.8	0.0	2.8	25.0	2.8	1.4	12.5
ESKER	11.5	25.3	3.4	2.3	16.1	4.6	4.6	12.6
SELKIRK	18.4	18.4	0.0	0.0	18.4	0.0	0.0	28.9
PINERY	14.7	31.9	0.0	5.0	28.6	5.0	0.4	7.1
POINT FARMS	22.4	16.5	0.0	3.5	34.1	1.2	0.0	11.8
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	14.5	27.7	1.7	2.9	18.6	5.7	1.5	14.1

CONT'D

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

ACTIVITY SPENT MOST TIME DOING

(1376 CASES - 85.0%)

TABLE 5.2

ACTIVITIES

PARK NAME	Casual Play	Viewpoints	Other +
ALGONQUIN	0.0	7.3	19.1
DRIFTWOOD	0.0	0.0	10.0
SIBBALD POINT	4.9	0.0	8.5
NORTH BEACH	2.5	0.0	6.8
BLUE LAKE	3.5	0.0	12.3
LAKE OF WOODS	0.0	0.0	17.9
SANDBAR LAKE	0.0	0.0	12.5
CHUTES	0.0	6.7	2.2
RESTOULE	0.0	0.0	14.3
PANCAKE BAY	5.6	0.0	5.6
ESKER	1.1	3.4	14.9
SELKIRK	7.9	0.0	7.9
PINERY	0.4	0.4	6.3
POINT FARMS	2.4	3.5	4.7
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	2.5	1.3	9.4

*INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES ACCOUNT FOR ONE PERCENT OR LESS AND ARE COMBINED WITHIN THIS CATEGORY

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

AGE AND SEX CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENT
TO PARICIPATION QUESTIONS

(1389 CASES - 85.8% AND 1399 CASES - 86.4%)

TABLE 5.3

	SEX DISTRIBUTION		AGE DISTRIBUTION				
	MALE %	FEMALE %	1-14 %	15-24 %	25-44 %	45-64 %	65+ %
PARK NAME							
ALGONQUIN	54.1	45.9	11.0	20.2	45.9	19.3	3.7
DRIFTWOOD	57.9	42.1	26.3	5.3	52.6	15.8	0.0
SIBBALD POINT	53.1	46.9	15.2	29.2	44.4	9.7	1.5
NORTH BEACH	47.2	52.8	18.2	26.4	47.1	6.6	1.7
BLUE LAKE	51.7	48.3	25.9	29.3	36.2	5.2	3.4
LAKE OF WOODS	40.5	59.5	27.0	29.7	35.1	2.7	5.4
SANDBAR LAKE	57.1	42.9	11.3	28.3	37.7	22.6	0.0
CHUTES	52.3	47.7	13.3	8.9	46.7	20.0	11.1
RESTOULE	39.5	60.5	25.6	18.6	39.5	7.0	9.3
PANCAKE BAY	55.9	44.1	23.2	10.1	53.6	11.6	1.4
ESKER	63.1	36.9	17.9	20.2	50.0	9.5	2.4
SELKIRK	50.0	50.0	23.5	17.6	44.1	2.9	11.8
PINERY	45.3	54.7	12.6	15.6	51.9	17.3	2.6
POINT FARMS	38.1	61.9	10.7	22.6	42.9	19.0	4.8
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	50.5	49.5	16.2	22.5	45.9	12.4	3.0

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

NUMBER OF ACTIVITIES PARTICIPATED IN

(1376 CASES - 85.0%)

TABLE 5.4

PARK NAME	1 %	2 %	3 %	4 %	5 %	6 %	7 %	8+ %	AVERAGE
ALGONQUIN	5.5	13.3	14.1	12.5	10.9	15.6	8.6	17.2	4.90
DRIFTWOOD	21.7	17.4	4.3	8.7	21.7	8.7	4.3	13.0	4.17
SIBBALD POINT	3.7	4.7	4.3	14.8	19.8	19.8	13.8	16.8	5.53
NORTH BEACH	5.4	4.6	14.6	19.2	26.2	16.2	8.5	4.6	4.07
BLUE LAKE	4.5	7.5	9.0	11.9	28.4	17.9	4.5	14.9	5.15
LAKE OF WOODS	8.3	4.2	12.5	14.6	4.2	14.6	12.5	16.7	4.75
SANDBAR LAKE	4.4	8.8	10.3	16.2	17.6	13.2	7.4	13.2	4.66
CHUTES	3.3	18.0	13.1	21.3	11.5	9.8	6.6	9.8	4.15
RESTOULE	12.2	8.2	10.2	16.3	26.5	6.1	8.2	10.2	4.53
PANCAKE BAY	2.5	6.2	7.4	14.8	16.0	27.2	11.1	12.3	5.36
ESKER	12.0	4.6	12.0	9.3	9.3	17.6	8.3	22.2	5.20
SELKIRK	4.3	4.3	4.3	14.9	14.9	19.1	8.5	29.8	5.98
PINERY	4.0	5.1	9.9	15.8	20.9	11.9	14.2	17.4	5.45
POINT FARMS	5.5	4.4	16.5	15.4	18.7	13.2	6.6	17.6	5.03
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	5.3	6.5	9.3	14.9	18.4	16.3	10.7	15.8	5.18

SECTION 6

TRIP-RELATED EXPENDITURES

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

EXPENDITURES ON FUEL AND TRANSPORTATION
WITHIN 40 KILOMETERS OF PARK
(942 CASES - 58.2%)

TABLE 6.1

	RANGES OF EXPENDITURES ($)							
	0	1-14	15-29	30-44	45-59	60-74	75+	AVERAGE
PARK NAME								
ALGONQUIN	3.5	25.9	35.3	14.1	10.6	4.7	5.9	$ 34.48
DRIFTWOOD	14.3	42.9	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	$ 31.71
SIBBALD POINT	3.2	59.1	28.0	5.0	1.1	0.4	3.2	$ 23.02
NORTH BEACH	1.2	80.2	10.5	3.5	1.2	0.0	3.5	$ 14.74
BLUE LAKE	0.0	64.9	16.2	5.4	0.0	5.4	8.1	$ 23.57
LAKE OF WOODS	0.0	68.0	16.0	4.0	8.0	0.0	4.0	$ 33.88
SANDBAR LAKE	13.9	36.1	11.1	22.2	2.8	0.0	13.9	$ 40.81
CHUTES	19.2	38.5	19.2	15.4	7.7	0.0	0.0	$ 15.00
RESTOULE	3.3	50.0	30.0	3.3	6.7	0.0	6.7	$ 19.77
PANCAKE BAY	4.5	61.4	22.7	0.0	6.8	0.0	4.5	$ 16.89
ESKER	0.0	54.2	27.1	6.8	6.8	0.0	5.1	$ 20.47
SELKIRK	0.0	88.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	$ 8.88
PINERY	9.0	60.9	21.2	6.4	1.9	0.0	0.6	$ 16.14
POINT FARMS	2.2	50.0	28.3	2.2	8.7	0.0	8.7	$ 40.35
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	4.5	57.1	23.6	6.4	3.6	0.7	4.1	$ 22.91

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

EXPENDITURES ON FOOD AND DRINK
WITHIN 40 KILOMETERS OF PARK

(865 CASES - 53.4%)

TABLE 6.2

	RANGES OF EXPENDITURES ($)							
	0	1-14	15-29	30-44	45-59	60-74	75+	AVERAGE
PARK NAME								
ALGONQUIN	6.0	29.8	22.6	3.6	6.0	4.8	27.4	$ 83.55
DRIFTWOOD	33.3	33.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	$ 20.00
SIBBALD POINT	12.9	33.2	31.6	10.5	5.9	0.8	5.1	$ 24.64
NORTH BEACH	10.4	54.5	15.6	5.2	3.9	0.0	10.4	$ 25.23
BLUE LAKE	6.5	41.9	6.5	16.1	12.9	0.0	16.1	$ 56.39
LAKE OF WOODS	4.2	50.0	29.2	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.3	$ 33.17
SANDBAR LAKE	15.2	18.2	33.3	6.1	6.1	0.0	21.2	$ 32.73
CHUTES	20.8	33.3	25.0	12.5	0.0	4.2	4.2	$ 39.63
RESTOULE	8.0	56.0	12.0	8.0	8.0	0.0	8.0	$ 22.88
PANCAKE BAY	17.1	29.3	34.1	2.4	4.9	0.0	12.2	$ 45.98
ESKER	1.9	44.4	25.9	7.4	7.4	0.0	13.0	$ 40.20
SELKIRK	4.5	59.1	31.8	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	$ 13.50
PINERY	10.5	49.7	21.7	7.0	2.8	0.0	8.4	$ 28.46
POINT FARMS	11.1	33.3	13.3	17.8	8.9	4.4	11.1	$ 28.02
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	10.6	39.5	24.6	8.2	5.4	1.0	10.5	$ 34.94

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

EXPENDITURES ON ENTERTAINMENT
WITHIN 40 KILOMETERS OF PARK

(510 CASES - 31.5%)

TABLE 6.3

	RANGES OF EXPENDITURES ($)							
PARK NAME	0	1-14	15-29	30-44	45-59	60-74	75+	AVERAGE
ALGONQUIN	47.9	25.0	10.4	0.0	4.2	2.1	10.4	$ 37.27
DRIFTWOOD	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	$ 0.00
SIBBALD POINT	71.1	17.8	7.2	1.1	1.1	0.0	1.7	$ 5.23
NURTH BEACH	61.9	21.4	9.5	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	$ 5.60
BLUE LAKE	36.4	27.3	9.1	0.0	9.1	0.0	18.2	$ 36.82
LAKE OF WOODS	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	$ 2.88
SANDBAR LAKE	50.0	30.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	$ 11.80
CHUTES	68.8	31.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	$ 1.38
RESTOULE	45.5	36.4	9.1	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	$ 8.91
PANCAKE BAY	74.1	11.1	3.7	3.7	3.7	0.0	3.7	$ 13.78
ESKER	50.0	26.7	20.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	$ 7.00
SELKIRK	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	$ 0.75
PINERY	54.9	28.0	6.1	3.7	2.4	1.2	3.7	$ 17.07
POINT FARMS	67.9	7.1	14.3	3.6	3.6	0.0	3.6	$ 9.61
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	62.2	21.8	8.2	1.9	2.3	0.4	3.1	$ 11.71

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

MISCELLANEOUS EXPENDITURES
WITHIN 40 KILOMETERS OF PARK

(942 CASES - 58.2%)

TABLE 6.4

PARK NAME	RANGES OF EXPENDITURES ($) 0	1-14	15-29	30-44	45-59	60-74	75+	AVERAGE
ALGONQUIN	27.9	14.8	3.3	6.6	9.8	4.9	32.8	$ 125.97
DRIFTWOOD	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	$ 16.67
SIBBALD POINT	79.6	9.9	4.9	1.2	1.2	0.0	3.1	$ 7.59
NORTH BEACH	46.5	37.2	9.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	$ 16.53
BLUE LAKE	30.8	30.8	0.0	7.7	0.0	7.7	23.1	$ 56.31
LAKE OF WOODS	42.9	42.9	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	$ 11.43
SANDBAR LAKE	42.9	9.5	19.0	9.5	9.5	0.0	9.5	$ 36.29
CHUTES	47.4	21.1	0.0	5.3	15.8	0.0	10.5	$ 26.79
RESTOULE	75.0	12.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	$ 5.00
PANCAKE BAY	50.0	26.9	0.0	7.7	3.8	0.0	11.5	$ 36.58
ESKER	53.1	25.0	9.4	6.3	0.0	0.0	6.3	$ 20.41
SELKIRK	54.5	36.4	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	$ 3.45
PINERY	58.4	10.4	7.8	3.9	3.9	0.0	15.6	$ 32.84
POINT FARMS	44.4	18.5	7.4	11.1	0.0	7.4	11.1	$ 36.44
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	57.3	17.1	5.9	4.1	3.7	1.2	10.8	$ 33.24

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

TOTAL EXPENDITURES
WITHIN 40 KILOMETERS OF PARK

(942 CASES - 58.2%)

TABLE 6.5

PARK NAME	RANGES OF EXPENDITURES ($) 0	1-14	15-29	30-44	45-59	60-74	75+	AVERAGE
ALGONQUIN	1.1	9.6	10.6	20.2	5.3	7.4	41.5	$ 206.62
DRIFTWOOD	14.3	28.6	28.6	0.0	14.3	0.0	14.3	$ 56.00
SIBBALD POINT	2.4	21.5	26.7	23.6	8.3	5.6	11.8	$ 51.74
NORTH BEACH	2.1	45.7	24.5	7.4	3.2	2.1	14.9	$ 44.22
BLUE LAKE	0.0	42.1	13.2	10.5	7.9	10.5	13.2	$ 98.87
LAKE OF WOODS	0.0	30.8	26.9	23.1	3.8	3.8	11.5	$ 67.15
SANDBAR LAKE	10.3	25.6	12.8	0.0	7.7	7.7	35.9	$ 90.95
CHUTES	11.4	28.6	20.0	11.4	5.7	2.9	20.0	$ 53.49
RESTOULE	3.3	20.0	43.3	10.0	6.7	3.3	13.3	$ 43.43
PANCAKE BAY	6.1	22.4	22.4	18.4	6.1	8.2	14.3	$ 80.63
ESKER	0.0	19.7	32.8	13.1	4.9	8.2	21.3	$ 69.54
SELKIRK	0.0	19.2	65.4	7.7	3.8	0.0	3.8	$ 21.65
PINERY	5.7	26.1	35.8	10.8	6.8	2.3	11.9	$ 59.76
POINT FARMS	0.0	19.2	28.8	7.7	5.8	11.5	26.9	$ 84.04
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	3.3	24.6	27.1	15.1	6.5	5.3	17.4	$ 73.64

SECTION 7

PARK VISITOR PREFERENCES

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

REASONS FOR CHOOSING PARK

(1288 CASES - 75.8%)

TABLE 7.1

PARK NAME	Close to Residence	Convenient	Recommended by friends	Visiting Friends/relatives	First visit	Past experience	Natural Setting
ALGONQUIN	17.9	6.0	9.4	11.1	6.8	12.8	11.1
DRIFTWOOD	15.4	15.4	0.0	0.0	7.7	15.4	15.4
SIBBALD POINT	40.9	1.3	5.2	3.1	2.9	7.4	5.4
NORTH BEACH	41.7	8.3	4.5	0.8	2.3	7.6	4.5
BLUE LAKE	19.4	11.3	8.1	3.2	0.0	19.4	1.6
LAKE OF WOODS	64.3	4.8	0.0	2.4	2.4	2.4	0.0
SANDBAR LAKE	37.5	22.9	8.3	0.0	2.1	2.1	0.0
CHUTES	8.7	54.3	6.5	0.0	4.3	4.3	6.5
RESTOULE	44.4	4.4	0.0	15.6	8.9	6.7	0.0
PANCAKE BAY	7.1	2.4	2.4	1.2	1.2	16.5	7.1
ESKER	31.1	1.9	0.9	4.7	2.8	10.4	15.1
SELKIRK	35.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	7.5	5.0	5.0
PINERY	26.5	2.0	7.3	4.5	2.0	16.3	9.8
POINT FARMS	27.3	11.4	2.3	12.5	9.1	6.8	0.0
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	31.9	6.2	5.1	4.6	3.5	10.0	6.4

CONT'D

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

REASONS FOR CHOOSING PARK

(1228 CASES - 75.8%)

TABLE 7.1

PARK NAME	Clean well kept	Beach/ Swimming	Remoteness	Good facilities Recreational opportunity	Quiet uncrowded	Close to vacation spot	Other +
ALGONQUIN	0.0	0.0	4.3	7.7	5.1	4.3	3.4
DRIFTWOOD	7.7	7.7	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7
SIBBALD POINT	3.8	8.1	0.0	10.1	3.1	0.2	8.3
NORTH BEACH	6.8	8.3	0.0	0.8	4.5	2.3	7.6
BLUE LAKE	3.2	14.5	3.2	4.8	1.6	0.0	9.7
LAKE OF WOODS	0.0	4.8	4.8	7.1	0.0	0.0	7.1
SANDBAR LAKE	0.0	8.3	0.0	4.2	2.1	2.1	10.4
CHUTES	0.0	2.2	0.0	4.3	0.0	4.3	4.3
RESTOULE	0.0	6.7	2.2	2.2	0.0	4.4	4.4
PANCAKE BAY	5.9	30.6	7.1	4.7	10.6	1.2	2.4
ESKER	6.6	4.7	0.0	10.4	3.8	0.0	7.5
SELKIRK	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.5	17.5
PINERY	0.8	8.2	0.4	9.0	6.5	0.0	6.5
POINT FARMS	0.0	8.0	1.1	4.5	4.5	2.3	10.2
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	3.0	8.3	1.3	7.1	4.1	1.2	7.4

CONTAINS REASONS OR COMBINATIONS OF REASONS WHICH, INDIVIDUALLY, DO NOT TOTAL MORE THAN ONE PERCENT

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

REASONS FOR ENJOYMENT OF PARK VISIT

(1229 CASES - 75.9%)

TABLE 7.2

PARK NAME	Quiet/ Relax	Campsites	Natural Setting	Good Swimming	Clean	Water Feature	Beach Activity	Walking Hiking	Picnic
ALGONQUIN	4.9	3.7	25.2	0.6	1.2	2.5	3.1	11.7	2.5
DRIFTWOOD	20.0	4.0	20.0	4.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.0
SIBBALD POINT	6.4	2.9	4.7	14.2	4.5	4.3	19.8	0.2	7.6
NORTH BEACH	9.4	0.0	3.1	27.7	5.7	6.9	13.8	0.6	3.8
BLUE LAKE	13.9	0.0	8.9	39.2	5.1	0.0	5.1	1.3	3.8
LAKE OF WOODS	14.3	0.0	4.8	11.9	4.8	0.0	14.3	7.1	9.5
SANDBAR LAKE	10.8	4.1	9.5	18.9	9.5	0.0	9.5	2.7	2.7
CHUTES	11.9	0.0	13.4	11.9	7.5	20.9	0.0	4.5	7.5
RESTOULE	14.3	4.8	9.5	17.5	3.2	1.6	9.5	3.2	7.9
PANCAKE BAY	9.9	1.0	8.9	38.6	6.9	0.0	9.9	2.0	4.0
ESKER	14.8	4.7	16.4	8.6	14.1	0.0	10.9	2.3	1.6
SELKIRK	19.3	0.0	17.5	7.0	12.3	0.0	8.8	0.0	10.5
PINERY	13.3	2.0	17.3	24.9	5.0	0.0	7.3	4.0	3.7
POINT FARMS	14.0	2.5	9.9	20.7	5.8	0.0	8.3	3.3	6.6
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	10.6	2.3	11.2	18.1	6.0	2.7	11.1	2.8	5.4

CONT'D

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

REASONS FOR ENJOYMENT OF PARK VISIT

(1229 CASES = 75.9%)

TABLE 7.2

PARK NAME	Uncrowded	Canoe/ Sailing	Good Weather	Good Facilities	Wildlife	Friendly Atmosphere	Clean Lake	Other +
ALGONQUIN	2.5	4.9	2.5	0.0	8.0	0.6	1.2	31.3
DRIFTWOOD	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	24.0
SIBBALD POINT	0.4	2.1	0.6	1.2	0.4	2.3	7.6	29.5
NORTH BEACH	3.8	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.6	3.1	3.1	25.2
BLUE LAKE	0.0	0.0	1.3	2.5	0.0	0.0	11.4	15.2
LAKE OF WOODS	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	38.1
SANDBAR LAKE	2.7	0.0	0.0	5.4	0.0	0.0	9.5	23.0
CHUTES	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	25.4
RESTOULE	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	1.6	1.6	3.2	25.4
PANCAKE BAY	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	5.9	14.9
ESKER	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	7.8	16.4
SELKIRK	3.5	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.8	3.5	29.8
PINERY	2.0	0.3	0.7	0.0	1.7	1.7	9.3	13.0
POINT FARMS	5.0	0.0	3.3	1.7	0.0	0.0	8.3	26.4
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	1.8	1.2	1.7	0.9	1.2	1.4	6.5	23.7

*CONTAINS REASONS OR COMBINATIONS OF REASONS WHICH, INDIVIDUALLY, DO NOT TOTAL MORE THAN ONE PERCENT

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS TO THE PARK

(680 CASES - 42.0%)

TABLE 7.3

PARK NAME	Leave As Is	Picnic Area	Beach Swim	Boat Facilities	Trails	More recreation Programs	Interpret	Information
ALGONQUIN	22.2	7.4	0.0	3.7	3.7	5.6	5.6	13.0
DRIFTWOOD	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
SIBBALD POINT	4.7	7.6	13.4	1.6	0.8	8.2	2.6	0.
NORTH BEACH	7.5	12.9	26.9	1.1	0.0	3.2	1.1	0.
BLUE LAKE	9.4	6.3	6.3	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
LAKE OF WOODS	0.0	2.7	18.9	2.7	2.7	0.0	2.7	2.
SANDBAR LAKE	5.9	0.0	0.0	11.8	5.9	5.9	5.9	0.
CHUTES	5.3	10.5	31.6	5.3	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
RESTOULE	8.3	5.6	5.6	2.8	8.3	22.2	2.8	0.
PANCAKE BAY	14.0	8.0	8.0	2.0	0.0	8.0	2.0	2.
ESKER	15.8	0.0	10.5	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	7.
SELKIRK	5.6	5.6	16.7	2.8	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
PINERY	8.1	9.5	23.6	0.7	5.4	1.4	0.7	2.
POINT FARMS	8.9	6.7	6.7	0.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	6.
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	7.8	7.3	14.5	1.7	2.5	6.0	1.9	2.

CONT'D

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS TO THE PARK

(680 CASES - 42.0%)

TABLE 7.3

PARK NAME	Noise control	Sanitation	Other Facilities	Showers	Concessions	Clean grounds	Campsites	Fee/regulations	Other +
ALGONQUIN	0.0	9.3	3.7	9.3	5.6	1.9	0.0	3.7	5.6
DRIFTWOOD	0.0	8.3	8.3	16.7	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	16.7
SIBBALD POINT	3.4	18.7	4.2	16.6	2.4	3.9	2.6	3.7	5.0
NORTH BEACH	1.1	3.2	2.2	8.6	18.3	1.1	2.2	2.2	8.6
BLUE LAKE	0.0	9.4	15.6	15.6	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	31.3
LAKE OF WOODS	0.0	0.0	21.6	32.4	8.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4
SANDBAR LAKE	0.0	0.0	23.5	5.9	11.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.5
CHUTES	0.0	10.5	5.3	10.5	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0	5.3
RESTOULE	0.0	0.0	8.3	22.2	2.8	0.0	2.8	0.0	8.3
PANCAKE BAY	0.0	10.0	10.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	22.0
ESKER	2.6	7.9	23.7	15.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	7.9
SELKIRK	0.0	16.7	5.6	25.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	2.8	8.3
PINERY	4.7	10.1	6.8	10.8	2.7	0.0	3.4	1.4	8.8
POINT FARMS	4.4	11.1	8.9	8.9	11.1	0.0	4.4	0.0	8.9
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	2.4	11.9	7.2	14.9	4.6	1.8	2.2	2.4	8.6

*CONTAINS IMPROVEMENTS OR COMBINATIONS OF IMPROVEMENTS WHICH, INDIVIDUALLY, DO NOT TOTAL MORE THEN ONE PERCENT

SECTION 8

MARKETING INFORMATION

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

AWARENESS OF ADVERTISING

(1488 CASES - 91.9%)

TABLE 8.1

PARK NAME	YES %	NO %
ALGONQUIN	72.0	28.0
DRIFTWOOD	68.4	31.6
SIBBALD POINT	65.6	34.4
NORTH BEACH	70.4	29.6
BLUE LAKE	56.9	43.1
LAKE OF WOODS	50.0	50.0
SANDBAR LAKE	72.9	27.1
CHUTES	73.1	26.9
RESTOULE	68.2	31.8
PANCAKE BAY	79.5	20.5
ESKER	68.7	31.3
SELKIRK	64.4	35.6
PINERY	70.9	29.1
POINT FARMS	71.3	28.7
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	68.5	31.5

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

TYPE OF ADVERTISING

(1252 CASES - 77.3%)

TABLE 8.2

PARK NAME	TV	Radio	Newspaper	Magazine	Transit Shelter	Other
ALGONQUIN	52.0	28.5	38.2	40.7	8.1	18.2
DRIFTWOOD	53.8	23.1	58.3	53.8	7.7	23.1
SIBBALD POINT	47.8	26.0	28.6	27.4	10.4	11.4
NORTH BEACH	58.1	26.6	38.7	37.1	12.0	12.3
BLUE LAKE	59.5	37.8	45.9	32.4	8.1	20.3
LAKE OF WOODS	63.2	36.8	47.4	21.1	5.3	16.7
SANDBAR LAKE	50.0	34.2	42.1	42.1	10.8	31.6
CHUTES	42.3	15.4	21.2	34.6	7.7	17.3
RESTOULE	38.6	27.3	20.5	20.5	4.5	13.6
PANCAKE BAY	74.1	31.0	50.9	47.5	10.3	20.7
ESKER	67.6	36.8	44.8	35.8	3.0	17.9
SELKIRK	70.8	37.5	62.5	45.8	4.2	8.7
PINERY	70.5	37.7	55.4	45.1	5.7	9.2
POINT FARMS	73.4	41.3	60.3	42.9	6.3	12.9
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	56.6	30.1	39.4	35.5	8.5	14.3

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

ADVERTISING INFLUENCE ON CHOICE OF PARK

(1104 CASES - 68.2%)

TABLE 8.3

PARK NAME	YES %	NO %
ALGONQUIN	18.8	81.2
DRIFTWOOD	18.2	81.8
SIBBALD POINT	14.9	85.1
NORTH BEACH	12.3	87.7
BLUE LAKE	10.3	89.7
LAKE OF WOODS	16.7	83.3
SANDBAR LAKE	23.1	76.9
CHUTES	22.5	77.5
RESTOULE	6.1	93.9
PANCAKE BAY	16.7	83.3
ESKER	18.8	81.3
SELKIRK	24.0	76.0
PINERY	11.0	89.0
POINT FARMS	18.3	81.7
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	15.3	84.7

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL EVENTS ON PARK VISITATION

(1471 CASES - 90.9%) (673 CASES - 41.6%) (1039 CASES - 64.2%)

TABLE 8.4

	AWARE OF SPECIAL EVENTS		INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL EVENTS		SPECIAL EVENTS ENCOURAGE VISIT	
	YES %	NO %	YES %	NO %	YES %	NO %
PARK NAME						
ALGONQUIN	20.3	79.7	17.5	82.5	60.4	39.6
DRIFTWOOD	4.8	95.2	0.0	100.0	83.3	16.7
SIBBALD POINT	8.1	91.9	9.3	90.7	68.2	31.8
NORTH BEACH	6.5	93.5	7.9	92.1	68.6	31.4
BLUE LAKE	16.7	83.3	22.7	77.3	76.3	23.7
LAKE OF WOODS	26.8	73.2	40.0	60.0	82.8	17.2
SANDBAR LAKE	36.2	63.8	38.7	61.3	71.8	28.2
CHUTES	9.3	90.7	3.6	96.4	63.6	36.4
RESTOULE	13.3	86.7	14.3	85.7	64.0	36.0
PANCAKE BAY	7.1	92.9	22.2	77.8	71.1	28.9
ESKER	28.4	71.6	29.5	70.5	79.5	20.5
SELKIRK	9.1	90.9	18.2	81.8	75.9	24.1
PINERY	28.7	71.3	12.7	87.3	63.3	36.7
POINT FARMS	28.7	71.3	26.2	73.8	66.2	33.8
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	17.1	82.9	15.6	84.4	68.5	31.5

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

VISIT OUTSIDE OF PARK

(1427 CASES - 88.1%)

TABLE 8.5

PARK NAME	YES %	NO %
ALGONQUIN	39.3	60.7
DRIFTWOOD	23.8	76.2
SIBBALD POINT	18.8	81.2
NORTH BEACH	13.4	86.6
BLUE LAKE	30.6	69.4
LAKE OF WOODS	28.2	71.8
SANDBAR LAKE	25.0	75.0
CHUTES	21.6	78.4
RESTOULE	20.9	79.1
PANCAKE BAY	25.7	74.3
ESKER	19.1	80.9
SELKIRK	23.8	76.2
PINERY	27.4	72.6
POINT FARMS	26.6	73.4
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	23.6	76.4

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

FACILITIES/ATTRACTIONS VISITED
OUTSIDE OF PARK

(237 CASES - 14.6%)

TABLE 8.6

PARK NAME	Other	Town/ Village	Local Attractions	Washrooms	Restaurant	Store	Beach	Other Parks
ALGONQUIN	23.8	7.1	11.9	0.0	23.8	11.9	2.4	7.1
DRIFTWOOD	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SIBBALD POINT	33.9	3.4	3.4	18.6	6.8	6.8	6.8	1.7
NORTH BEACH	8.3	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7
BLUE LAKE	30.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	25.0	5.0	5.0
LAKE OF WOODS	14.3	14.3	0.0	14.3	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0
SANDBAR LAKE	0.0	7.7	7.7	15.4	15.4	7.7	15.4	0.0
CHUTES	9.1	9.1	0.0	9.1	18.2	9.1	0.0	9.1
RESTOULE	33.3	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
PANCAKE BAY	15.4	0.0	23.1	15.4	0.0	15.4	0.0	30.8
ESKER	21.4	7.1	21.4	7.1	0.0	7.1	28.6	0.0
SELKIRK	25.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PINERY	9.5	31.7	19.0	4.8	12.7	7.9	1.6	1.6
POINT FARMS	19.2	11.5	7.7	19.2	7.7	11.5	3.8	0.0
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	20.2	12.0	11.6	11.0	10.3	9.9	4.8	4.5

CONT'D

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

FACILITIES/ATTRACTIONS VISITED
OUTSIDE OF PARK

(237 CASES - 14.6%)

TABLE 8.6

PARK NAME	Museum	Picnic sites	Church	Fishing	Theatre	Viewpoints/ Lookouts	Golf course	Mini-golf
ALGONQUIN	2.4	0.0	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	0.0	0.0
DRIFTWOOD	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SIBBALD POINT	0.0	1.7	6.8	1.7	1.7	3.4	1.7	1.7
NORTH BEACH	8.3	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
BLUE LAKE	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LAKE OF WOODS	14.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SANDBAR LAKE	7.7	7.7	0.0	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CHUTES	9.1	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
RESTOULE	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PANCAKE BAY	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESKER	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SELKIRK	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PINERY	4.8	1.6	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
POINT FARMS	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	3.8	7.7
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	3.8	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	1.4	1.0	1.0

SECTION 9

DAY-USERS PERCEPTION OF PARK STAFF

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

PARK STAFF COURTEOUS AND HELPFUL

(1494 CASES - 92.3%)

TABLE 9.1

PARK NAME	YES %	NO %	NO OPINION %
ALGONQUIN	87.3	2.4	10.3
DRIFTWOOD	90.0	0.0	10.0
SIBBALD POINT	75.2	2.9	21.9
NORTH BEACH	84.8	4.8	10.4
BLUE LAKE	93.9	1.5	4.5
LAKE OF WOODS	87.8	2.4	9.8
SANDBAR LAKE	88.1	3.4	8.5
CHUTES	91.1	3.6	5.4
RESTOULE	84.4	4.4	11.1
PANCAKE BAY	84.7	5.6	9.7
ESKER	93.0	1.0	6.0
SELKIRK	91.1	0.0	8.9
PINERY	86.5	2.4	11.0
POINT FARMS	89.7	5.7	4.6
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	84.6	3.0	12.4

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

REASONS STAFF FOUND NOT COURTEOUS

(29 CASES = 1.8%)

TABLE 9.2

PARK NAME	Unfriendly/ rude	Give direction more clearly	Not seen often enough	other
ALGONQUIN	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
SIBBALD POINT	11.1	11.1	55.6	22.2
NORTH BEACH	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
BLUE LAKE	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
SANDBAR LAKE	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
RESTOULE	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
PANCAKE BAY	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PINERY	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3
POINT FARMS	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	37.9	13.8	31.0	17.2

NOTE: refers only to the comments made by the small percentage (3.0%) finding park staff not courteous.

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

INTERPRETIVE/RECREATIONAL PROGRAMS
IN PARKS FOUND ADEQUATE

(1394 CASES - 86.1%)

TABLE 10.1

PARK NAME	YES %	NO %	DON'T KNOW %
ALGONQUIN	57.9	0.9	41.2
DRIFTWOOD	35.3	17.6	47.1
SIBBALD POINT	35.3	7.2	57.5
NORTH BEACH	33.6	10.9	55.5
BLUE LAKE	34.5	7.3	58.2
LAKE OF WOODS	64.1	2.6	33.3
SANDBAR LAKE	43.1	7.8	49.0
CHUTES	29.4	3.9	66.7
RESTOULE	25.6	2.3	72.1
PANCAKE BAY	30.9	8.8	60.3
ESKER	47.4	7.2	45.4
SELKIRK	35.9	17.9	46.2
PINERY	43.3	2.1	54.5
POINT FARMS	33.7	1.2	65.0
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	39.5	6.0	54.6

Section 10

Interpretive/Recreational Programs

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY

REASONS PARK PROGRAMS
FOUND INADEQUATE

(53 CASES - 3.3%)

TABLE 10.2

PARK NAME	Unavailable at time of visit	More childrens programs	Uninformed about Programs	More Social activities/games	Hours of operation	Other
ALGONQUIN	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
DRIFTWOOD	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
SIBBALD POINT	6.7	0.0	6.7	26.7	0.0	60.0
NORTH BEACH	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	60.0
BLUE LAKE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3
LAKE OF WOODS	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
SANDBAR LAKE	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
CHUTES	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RESTOULE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
PANCAKE BAY	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
ESKER	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3
SELKIRK	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7
PINERY	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7
POINT FARMS	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
OVERALL PERCENTAGE	7.5	7.5	5.7	17.0	3.8	58.5

SECTION 11

SPECIFIC QUESTIONS: SOUTHERN REGIONS

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY
POINT FARMS PROV. PARK

PUBLICATIONS AND SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS

TABLE 11.1.1

PUBLICATIONS ADEQUATE

(74 CASES = 81.3%)

	%
YES	83.8
NO	16.2

FIRST SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENT TO PUBLICATION

(6 CASES = 6.6%)

	%
PICNIC AREA NOT ON MAP	0.0
DIDN'T GET ONE	100.0

SECOND SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENT TO PUBLICATION

NO RESPONSES

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY
POINT FARMS PROV. PARK

USE OF CREDIT CARDS WITHIN PROVINCIAL PARKS

TABLE 11.1.2

FAVOUR USE OF CREDIT CARDS

(84 CASES - 92.3%)

	%
YES	32.1
NO	40.5
NO OPINION	27.4

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY
SELKIRK PROV. PARK

PUBLICATIONS AND SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS

TABLE 11.2.1

PUBLICATIONS ADEQUATE

(40 CASES = 85.1%)

	%
YES	87.5
NO	12.5

FIRST SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENT TO PUBLICATION

(1 CASE = 2.1%)

	%
PICNIC AREA NOT ON MAP	0.0
DIDN'T GET ONE	100.0

SECOND SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENT TO PUBLICATION

NO RESPONSE

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY
SELKIRK PROV. PARK

USE OF CREDIT CARDS WITHIN PROVINCIAL PARKS

TABLE 11.2.2

FAVOUR USE OF CREDIT CARDS

(39 CASES - 83.0%)

	%
YES	43.6
NO	20.5
NO OPINION	35.9

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY
PINERY PROV. PARK

WINTER USE OF PARK

TABLE 11.3.1

PARK USE IN WINTER

(233 CASES - 92.1%)

	%
YES	21.9
NO	78.1

FIRST WINTER ACTIVITY

(62 CASES - 24.5%)

	%
X-COUNTRY SKIING	61.3
SKATING	4.8
TOBOGANNING	8.1
SNOWSHOEING	3.2
OTHER	22.6

SECOND WINTER ACTIVITY

(28 CASES - 11.1%)

	%
X-COUNTRY SKIING	3.7
SKATING	33.3
TOBOGANNING	33.3
SNOWSHOEING	7.4
OTHER	22.2

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY
PINERY PROV. PARK

SIGNAGE SYSTEM AND SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS

TABLE 11.3.2

SIGNAGE SYSTEM SATISFACTORY

(222 CASES - 87.8%)

	%
YES	94.1
NO	5.9

FIRST SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS TO SIGNAGE SYSTEM

(9 CASES - 3.6%)

	%
CLEARER INSTRUCTIONS AT TRAFFIC CIRCLE	11.1
SIGNAGE NOT ADEQUATE FOR BEACH AND STORE	11.1
MORE SIGNS OFF MAIN ROAD	11.1
OTHER: ONE WAY ROADS, SPEED LIMIT POSTED	66.7

SECOND SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS TO SIGNAGE SYSTEM

NO RESPONSES

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY
PINERY PROV. PARK

PUBLICATIONS AND SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS

TABLE 11.3.3

PUBLICATIONS ADEQUATE

(228 CASES = 90.1%)

	%
YES	89.9
NO	10.1

FIRST SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENT TO PUBLICATION

(20 CASES = 7.9%)

	%
DIDN'T GET ONE	80.0
OTHER	20.0

SECOND SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS TO PUBLICATION

(2 CASES = 0.8%)

	%
DIDN'T GET ONE	0.0
INFO. ON HIKING TRAILS & WASHROOMS	100.0

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY
PINERY PROV. PARK

USE OF CREDIT CARDS WITHIN PROVINCIAL PARKS

TABLE 11.3.4

FAVOUR USE OF CREDIT CARDS

(236 CASES - 93.3%)

	%
YES	25.4
NO	44.1
NO OPINION	30.5

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY
NORTH BEACH PROV. PARK

LEVEL OF ENFORCEMENT AND SECURITY

TABLE 11.4.1

SATISFACTION WITH ENFORCEMENT AND SECURITY

(121 CASES - 93.1%)

	%
SATISFIED	65.3
DISSATISFIED	6.6
NO OPINION	28.1

REASONS DISSATISFIED WITH LEVEL OF SECURITY

(11 CASES - 8.5%)

	%
CONTROL NOISE	18.2
TOO MANY REGULATIONS	54.5
CONTROL PETS	9.1
CONTROL DRINKING	9.1
STOP ROWDINESS	0.0
MORE ENFORCEMENT	9.1

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY
NORTH BEACH PROV. PARK

MAINTENANCE AND USE OF FACILITIES

TABLE 11.4.2

WELL MAINTAINED WASHROOMS

(109 CASES - 83.9%)

	%
YES	91.7
NO	8.3

WELL MAINTAINED PICNIC GROUNDS

(110 CASES - 84.6%)

	%
YES	94.5
NO	5.5

WELL MAINTAINED BEACH

(117 CASES - 90.0%)

	%
YES	75.2
NO	24.8

USE OF BARBEQUES

(120 CASES - 92.3%)

	%
YES	7.5
NO	92.5

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY
ALGONQUIN PROV. PARK

ACTIVITIES ENGAGED IN OFF SEASON

TABLE 11.5.1

PARK USE DURING OFF SEASON

(112 CASES = 86.2%)

	%
YES	29.5
NO	69.6

FIRST CHOICE ACTIVITIES ENGAGED IN

(41 CASRS = 31.5%)

	%
CANOEING	7.3
HIKING	31.7
CAMPING	4.9
X-COUNTRY SKIING	19.5
SNOWSHOEING	2.4
FISHING	12.2
WALKING	9.8
OTHER	12.2

SECOND CHOICE ACTIVITIES ENGAGED IN

(21 CASES = 16.2%)

	%
CANOEING	4.8
HIKING	9.5
CAMPING	14.3
SIGHTSEEING	4.8
X-COUNTRY SKIING	23.8
FISHING	4.8
WALKING	4.8
OTHER: BIKING, SKATING	33.3

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY
ALGONQUIN PROV. PARK

LEVEL OF ENFORCEMENT AND SECURITY

TABLE 11.5.2

LEVEL OF SECURITY

(116 CASES =89.2%)

	%
SATISFIED	69.8
DISSATISFIED	5.2
NO OPINION	25.0

REASONS DISSATISFIED WITH LEVEL OF SECURITY

(2 CASES = 3.9%)

	%
TOO MUCH NOISE	20.0
MORE PATROLLING	60.0
NIGHT PATROL	0.0
DOG CONTROL	0.0
TOO STRICT	0.0
OTHER	20.0

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY
ALGONQUIN PROV. PARK

MAINTENANCE OF PARK FACILITIES

TABLE 11.5.3

PARK FACILITIES WELL MAINTAINED

(117 CASES = 90.0%)

	%
YES	92.3
NO	5.1
NO OPINION	2.6

REASONS PARK FACILITIES NOT WELL MAINTAINED

(7 CASES = 5.4%)

	%
WASHROOMS UNCLEAN	14.3
SHOWERS NEED WORK	0.0
CAMPGROUND UNCLEAN	14.3
PORTAGE SIGNS NOT VISIBLE	14.3
PICNIC TABLES NOT MAINTAINED	14.3
OTHER	42.9

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY
ALGONQUIN PROV. PARK

SELF-GUIDED TRAILS USED

TABLE 11.5.4

FIRST SELF-GUIDED TRAIL USED

(46 CASES = 35.4%)

	%
HIGHLAND	4.3
WESTERN UPLANDS	2.2
WHISKY RAPIDS	13.0
LOOKOUT	6.5
SPRUCE BOG	8.7
BEAVER POND	19.6
TWO RIVERS	8.7
HARDWOOD LOOKOUT	10.9
HEMLOCK BLUFF	10.9
MIZZY LAKE	8.7
BOOTH'S ROCK	4.3
PECK LAKE	2.2

SECOND SELF-GUIDED TRAIL USED

(17 CASE = 13.1%)

	%
HIGHLAND	5.9
WESTERN UPLANDS	0.0
WHISKY RAPIDS	17.6
LOOKOUT	5.9
SPRUCE BOG	23.5
BEAVER POND	11.8
TWO RIVERS	0.0
HARDWOOD LOOKOUT	5.9
HEMLOCK BLUFF	11.8
MIZZY LAKE	0.0
BOOTH'S ROCK	11.8
PECK LAKE	5.9

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY
ALGONQUIN PROV. PARK

PICNIC AREAS USED

TABLE 11.5.5

FIRST PICNIC AREA USED

(30 CASES = 23.1%)

	%
LAKE OF TWO RIVERS	63.3
TEA LAKE DAM	20.0
OXTONGUE RIVER	0.0
HARDWOOD HILL	3.3
LITTLE MADAWASKA	0.0
CANISBAY	13.3
COSTELLO CREEK	0.0

SECOND PICNIC AREA USED

(5 CASES = 3.9%)

	%
LAKE OF TWO RIVERS	80.0
TEA LAKE DAM	0.0
OXTONGUE RIVER	0.0
HARDWOOD HILL	0.0
LITTLE MADAWASKA	20.0
CANISBAY	0.0
COSTELLO CREEK	0.0

1984 PROVINCIAL PARK DAY-USER SURVEY
ALGONQUIN PROV. PARK

OTHER AREAS USED

TABLE 11.5.6

FIRST OTHER AREA USED

(64 CASES - 49.2%)

	%
TWO RIVERS STORE	4.7
PORTAGE STORE	14.1
MUSEUM	62.5
INFORMATION CENTRE	0.0
PIONEER LOGGING EXHIBIT	9.4
BARTLETT LODGE	1.6
AROWHON LODGE	3.1
KILLARNEY LODGE	4.7

SECOND OTHER AREA USED

(39 CASES - 30.0%)

	%
TWO RIVERS STORE	15.4
POTRAGE STORE	30.8
MUSEUM	0.0
INFORMATION CENTRE	7.7
PIONEER LOGGING EXHIBIT	38.5
BARTLETT LODGE	0.0
AROWHON LODGE	0.0
KILLARNEY LODGE	7.7

THIRD OTHER AREA USED

(21 CASES - 16.2%)

	%
TWO RIVERS STORE	38.1
POTRAGE STORE	14.3
MUSEUM	4.8
INFORMATION CENTRE	38.1
PIONEER LOGGING EXHIBIT	4.8
BARTLETT LODGE	0.0
AROWHON LODGE	0.0
KILLARNEY LODGE	0.0

Ontario .ryol Natural Resources , ark Visitor Survey

Name k

Park Code 1 | Questionnaire No. | Record No. 1

Help plan your Provincial Parks. Please fill out this Questionnaire.

You have been selected to represent the people who use our Provincial Parks. The information you give here will be used to help improve our Parks and provide the kinds of activities and environments you enjoy.

Instructions: Unless otherwise specified, please enter one number in the appropriate box to facilitate computer processing. Do not fill in the shaded boxes (for office use only).
Example - If your response to Question two is 5 people in your group, then code 05

1. Which of the following best describes your group (travelling together in *one* vehicle)? (Select one only.)
   ① Family ② One couple only ③ Organized group (ie. troop, team, club, etc.)
   ④ Group of Friends ⑤ Single ⑨ Other (please specify) ▼ [10]

2. How many people are in your group? [11][12]

3. Please indicate the ages for all members in your group.

   Example 05 — 5 year old; 31 — 31 year old

Male		Female	
13	14	27	28
15	16	29	30
17	18	31	32
19	20	33	34
21	22	35	36
23	24	37	38
25	26	39	40

4. a) Have you visited this Park before? [41]
   ① Yes ② No (If Yes, continue. If No, skip to Question 5.)
   b) Did you visit this Park last year? [42]
   ① Yes ② No (If Yes, continue. If No, skip to Question 5.)
   c) How many days did you visit last year? [43][44]
   d) Did you camp here last year? [45]
   ① Yes ② No

5. With respect to this visit from home, would you consider this Park to be: [46]
   ① Main destination of this trip? ② One of several destinations?
   ③ Just a stopover en route?

6. If staying in the vicinity of the Park, what type of accommodation are you using while on this Park visit? (Select one only.)
   ① Permanent residence ② Provincial Park campsite ③ Hotel/Motel/Motor Hotel
   ④ Home/Cottage of friends/Relatives ⑤ Resort/Lodge ⑥ Commercial Cottage/Cabin
   ⑦ Personal Cottage ⑧ Private campground ⑨ Other (please specify) ▼ [47]

591 (84/4)

Thank you for your assistance.

If accidentally carried from the Park, please mail to:

Parks and Recreational Areas Branch
Ministry of Natural Resources
Queen's Park
Toronto, Ontario M7A 1W3

Please fill out the remaining Questions just before leaving the Park.
Enjoy your visit and do not forget to leave the Questionnaire in the box provided near the Park exit.

7. How many hours did you spend in *this* Park today? (Please specify to the nearest hour.)
① Less than 1 hour ② 1 hour ③ 2 hours ④ 3 hours ⑤ 4 hours
⑥ 5 hours ⑦ 6 hours ⑧ over 6 hours [48]

8. a) In order to find out what activities people do in Parks, one person is to be selected from your group and asked to indicate the activities he or she did while in the Park.

1	Picnicking	①Yes ②No [49]	12	Relaxing (sitting around, reading books, etc.)	①Yes ②No [60]
2	Sunbathing	①Yes ②No [50]	13	Visiting viewpoints, scenic lookouts	①Yes ②No [61]
3	Swimming/Wading	①Yes ②No [51]	14	Hikes, walks (guided/ conducted by staff)	①Yes ②No [62]
4	Motorboating	①Yes ②No [52]	15	Viewing historical or nature displays	①Yes ②No [63]
5	Canoeing	①Yes ②No [53]	16	Staff-organized recreation (campfires, etc.)	①Yes ②No [64]
6	Trail hiking (non-guided)	①Yes ②No [54]	17	Attending talks, slide presentations by Park staff	①Yes ②No [65]
7	Bicycling	①Yes ②No [55]	18	Fishing	①Yes ②No [66]
8	Walking/jogging	①Yes ②No [56]	19	Other (please specify)▼	①Yes ②No [67]
9	Casual play (throwing frisbee, football, etc.)	①Yes ②No [57]			
10	Viewing or photographing animals or plants	①Yes ②No [58]			
11	Using playground facilities	①Yes ②No [59]			

b) Which activity listed above in a) did the person spend the most time doing? (Indicate by item number ☐.) [68][69]
c) Please specify age of person selected. [70][71]
d) Please specify sex of person selected.
① Male ② Female [72]

[1] 1 [4] [5] [8] 2 [9]

9. Please estimate your group's trip-related expenditures in Ontario within 40 km. (25 miles) of this Park. If you live in the defined area, also include an estimate of expenditures. Estimates should be made to the nearest dollar.

Fuel and transportation	$ [10]	[12]
Food and beverages	$ [13]	[15]
Attractions and entertainment	$ [16]	[18]
Miscellaneous (ie. accommodations)	$ [19]	[21]

10. Are you aware of any special events taking place in this Park or vicinity this year?
① Yes ② No [22]
If Yes, have special events influenced your decision to visit this Park?
① Yes ② No [23]
Would they encourage future visits to this Park?
① Yes ② No [24]

11. a) Are you aware of advertising for Ontario Provincial Parks?
① Yes ② No [25]
b) What type of advertisements are you aware of?
① Yes ② No

Television	[26]	Magazine	[29]
Radio	[27]	Transit Shelter	[30]
Newspaper	[28]	Other	[31]

c) Did this advertising influence your decision to visit this Park?
① Yes ② No [32]

12. Did you find the Park staff to be courteous and helpful?
① Yes ② No ③ No opinion If No, please explain. (Write in your response.)▼ [33]
[34]

13. Did you use facilities or visit attractions outside the Park?
① Yes ② No If Yes, which facilities or attractions. (Write in your response.)▼ [35]
[36][37]
[38][39]

14. Do you feel that the interpretive/recreational programs in this Park are adequate?
① Yes ② No ③ Don't know If No, please explain. (Write in your response.)▼ [40]
[41]

78

For the following Questions, please write in your response in the space provided.

15. Please indicate what you enjoyed most about your visit to this Park.▼
1st [42][43]
2nd [44][45]
3rd [46][47]

16. Why did you choose this Park rather than a different one?▼
1st [48][49]
2nd [50][51]
3rd [52][53]

17. Please indicate what could be done to improve or make your visit to this Park more interesting and enjoyable.▼
Additional programs (eg. interpretive) [54][55]
Additional services (eg. showers) [56][57]
Other [58][59]

18. Where is your permanent residence located?
Nearest village, town, city
Province, State [60][64]

Please see reverse side.

1984 PARK USER SURVEY- DAY USE